EXHIBIT D

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 4235-4 Filed: 10/16/19 Entered: 10/16/19 15:39:12 Page 1

of 5

```
1
                UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 2.
                NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                    SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
 5
     In re:
 6
 7
     PG&E CORPORATION,
          and
                                         ) No. 19-30088 (DM)
 8
     PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, ) Chapter 11
 9
10
                Debtors.
                                         )
11
12
13
        VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF JASON WELLS
14
15
                   San Francisco, California
                    Friday, October 11, 2019
16
17
                             Volume I
18
19
20
     Reported by:
     CATHERINE A. RYAN, RMR, CRR
21
22
     CSR No. 8239
23
     Job No. 3565644
24
     PAGES 1 - 212
25
                                                     Page 1
```

Veritext Legal Solutions 866 299-5127

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
4			
5			
6	In re:		
7)		
8	PG&E CORPORATION,		
9	and) No. 19-30088 (DM)		
10	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,) Chapter 11		
11	Debtors.		
12)		
13			
14			
15	Videotaped 30(b)(6) deposition of		
16	JASON WELLS, Volume I, taken on behalf of the		
17	Official Committee of Tort Claimants, at Walkup,		
18	Melodia, Kelly & Schoenberger, 650 California		
19	Street, 26th Floor, San Francisco California,		
20	beginning at 10:31 a.m. and ending at 4:49 p.m., on		
21	Friday, October 11, 2019, before CATHERINE A. RYAN,		
22	Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8239.		
23			
24			
25			
	Page 2		
	1		

1	conclusion. Also, I'm not sure this is in the	10:47:31
2	scope, but he can answer.	
3	THE WITNESS: May I may I have a minute	
4	to read it?	
5	MR. RICHARDSON: Sure.	10:47:39
6	(Pause.)	
7	THE WITNESS: I don't recall the purpose	
8	of this provision.	
9	BY MR. RICHARDSON:	
10	Q Are you familiar at all with California	10:48:06
11	insurance regulations?	
12	A Vaguely, as it's come up in the the	
13	in and around these topics.	
14	Q At any point in the negotiations, did the	
15	subrogation claim holders demand that a settlement	10:48:22
16	with the debtors include payment of fire victims'	
17	deductibles?	
18	A I don't recall that demand, but I,	
19	personally, wasn't part of the settlement	
20	negotiations directly as part of the process to	10:48:38
21	assess the what the company was willing to offer	
22	and ultimately settle for.	
23	Q At any time during the negotiations, did	
24	you hear any discussion suggesting that the	
25	subrogation claim holders intended to allocate part	10:48:52
		Page 27

1	of their settlement to fire victims' deductibles?	10:48:55
2	A I had not heard that, no.	
3	MR. ORSINI: Are we done with this one?	
4	MR. RICHARDSON: Yes.	
5	Exhibit 4.	10:49:20
6	(Exhibit 002-BK-004 was marked for	
7	identification.)	
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
9	BY MR. RICHARDSON:	
10	Q Now, I realize your name is not on this	10:49:51
11	email chain, so I won't ask you if you've seen the	
12	email, but do you see in the first line where	
13	there's a reference a draft of the side letter	
14	agreement between the Baupost Creditors and PG&E?	
15	A I see that reference in the attachments,	10:50:05
16	yes.	
17	Q Are you familiar with a side letter	
18	agreement between the Baupost Creditors and PG&E?	
19	MR. ORSINI: Objection. Scope.	
20	But you can answer.	10:50:16
21	THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any side	
22	letter agreement. I do see reference in the body of	
23	the email to a Nondisclosure Agreement, and I am	
24	aware of that.	
25	//	
		Page 28